

# Formal response

# Please deliver this to Examiner Bashore Thx.

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Examiner William L Basi	nore	M: Joe Zheng	Office
COMPANY	DAT		
Group Art Unit: 2167		12/20/01	•
FAN NUMBER: (703)308-6606	тот	AL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING	d COVER:
PHONE NUMBER: (703)308-5807	SEN	DER'S REFERENCE SUMBER: 2276-03	<
Proposed response for disc		78 REPURENCE NUMBERS 09/754,969	:
☑ URGENT ☑ FOR REVIEW	PLEASE COMMEN	T DPLEASE REPLY	D PLEASE RECYCLE

Dear Mr. Examiner Bashore:

The following 7 pages are a formal response faxed to your attention Nov. 6, 2001. The first page is an AUTO-REPLY Facsimile Transmission from the USPTO fax machine to prove that the response was faxed as claimed.

Respectfully,

Joe Zheng Reg. No: 39,450

18026 KING COURT, SARATOGA, CA 95070



11/86/81 16:38:57

USPTO->

4088739249 RightFAX

Page 201

TO:Auto-hapty fax to 4088739249 COMPANY:

## Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

To: From: Fax Sender at 4088739249

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax information...

Date Received: Total Pages:

11/6/01 4:30:20 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

(eggq revoc gnibulani) 8

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of your facsimile transmission. Please confirm the number of pages received is consistent with that intended to be sent. Applicants are arivised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to a non-final or final Office action by facsimile (37 CFR 1.8(a)). Applicants are also advised to retain this return receipt in the unlikely event that the Office has no record of the facsimile submission. whether the facsimile submission is a reply to an Office action (37 CFR 1.8(b)), or a continued

Received Cover Page

=====>

## ALL CL MISSE ED AND CHENN Formal response FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET Gruep Art Units 2:67 100 HE 100 09/764,819 Proposed imports for discussion By they are Deploy and by Dr. Branch C. Charles Meet ! 1 11 3 1 Mg 1/9 Dear Mr. Courtier Bushard. To slave case a new under the final office score. The Applicant responding to the class is now among the area of contract. The oppositely required a religious discount of the following as a 4-mag proceed-responds to the first into the interesting Lord of the contract of the first of the contract o convenient say. ilespectfilly. for Zhong Rep. Nov. 39,410 those reing be explored tradeal by seets



## Formal response

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET				
TO: Examiner William L Bashore	гком: Joe Zheng	!		
COMPANY: Group Art Unit: 2167	DATE: 11/06/01			
FAX NUMBER: (703)308-6606	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING	COVER:		
PHONE NUMBER: (703)308-5807	SHNDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER 2276-03			
Proposed response for discussion	Your reference number: 09/754,969			
☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PL	RASE COMMENT  PLEASE REPLY	☐ PLEASE RECYCLE		
NOTES/COMMENTS:		:		
Dear Mr. Examiner Bashore:		· : .		
The above case is now un respectfully requests a telephonic response to facilitate the intervie convenient time.		proposed		
		· :		
Respectfully,		: !		
Joe Zheng Reg. No: 39,450				
		•		

18026 KING COURT, SARATOGA, CA 95070

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Evan \$. Huang

Title:

Method and Apparatus for Generating Structured Documents for

Various Presentations

Serial No.:

09/754,969

Filing Date:

01/05/2001

Examiner:

William L. Bashore

**Group Art Unit:** 

2176

**Docket No:** 

2276-03

November 3, 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box FA

Washington, DC 20231

#### Response to Final Office Action

Dear Sir:

This is to respond to the Final Office Action mailed on 09/11/01.

Claims 1 - 42 are pending. In the Office Action, the Claims 1 - 42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgendale et al. (US Pat. No.: 5,276,793) in view of Japanese Publication – Pub. No.: JP08030619A by Fuji Xerox, both cited references are referred to hereinafter as Borgendale and Fuji Xerox, respectively. The rejections are fully traversed below. Reconsideration of Claims 1 - 42 is respectfully requested based on the following remarks.

#### **Remarks**

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview on November 2, 2001. Although no agreement was reached, the Applicant had the opportunity to discuss with the Examiner on Claim 1 with respect to the cited references